

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID CARMONA TOVAR,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR, LOS  
ANGELES FIELD OFFICE, UNITED  
STATES IMMIGRATION AND  
CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, *et al.*,  
Respondents.

Case No. 5:24-cv-01535-FLA (SSC)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,  
CONCLUSIONS, AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED  
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND DENYING MOTION TO  
DISMISS AS MOOT [DKT. 14]**

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the court has reviewed the petition, all of the  
2 records herein, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate  
3 Judge (“Report”) to which no objections were filed. Dkt. 22.

4 The Report explains that Petitioner has not communicated with the court  
5 since August 2024 and did not respond to the court’s order to show cause why the  
6 action should not be dismissed, and that mail sent by the court to Petitioner,  
7 including the Report, has been returned as undeliverable. Dkt. 22 at 1–3; Dkt. 24.  
8 Accordingly, the Report recommends dismissal of the action for Petitioner’s failure  
9 to prosecute and comply with court orders and the Local Rules, which require  
10 Petitioner to notify the court of a change in address. *Id.* at 1, 3–6.

11 The court ACCEPTS and ADOPTS the findings and conclusions of the  
12 Magistrate Judge in the Report. Judgment shall be ENTERED dismissing the  
13 action without prejudice for Petitioner’s failure to prosecute and comply with court  
14 orders and the Local Rules. Respondent’s motion to dismiss, Dkt. 14, is DENIED  
15 as moot.

16

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 Dated: February 18, 2025



---

FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA  
United States District Judge

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28